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1.0 Introduction

Vision

Kingsland is a vibrant community currently undergoing redevelopment and facing redevelopment pressures. The vision of the residents for Kingsland is a community with a mix of housing types that will attract a variety of lifestyles (i.e. single-detached dwellings, duplex dwellings, townhouses, secondary suites, and mid-high density buildings). Redevelopment and community initiatives in Kingsland will allow for the enhancement of streetscapes and areas of road closures and pathway and walkway revitalization and the addition of pedestrian connections. Higher density redevelopment should be directed to areas of existing medium to high densities where on-site parking is provided and excess on-street parking is available in the opinion of the residents and traffic capacities exist within the road network.

Community Needs

As the perimeter of Kingsland redevelops, consideration will be given to proper transitioning between the commercial uses and residential uses. In particular, the old Jack Carter site should be respectful of the residential nature of the surrounding area and a mixed-use development that incorporates some seniors housing would be supported by the community. Remnant perimeter parcels following the GE5 project will be cleaned up by owners (i.e. commercial parcel at Glenmore Trail and Elbow Drive owned by Corporate Properties and houses on the south side of Glenmore Trail) will be redeveloped or landscaped working in conjunction with the Kingsland Community Association.

A community traffic study shall be completed by the City of Calgary prior to any major redevelopment projects occurring in Kingsland. Residents feel that shortcutting through the community must be reduced through a traffic management program prior to adding additional traffic to the road network. Traffic Impact Assessments prepared for individual developments are not addressing how the overall road network will be impacted as the Kingsland redevelops.

1.1 Background

The Kingsland Community Plan was prepared by the Kingsland Community Association (KCA). Recent development pressure in Kingsland created the need for a Plan that would guide the KCA Board when responding to development proposals. An Area Redevelopment Plan has not been prepared by the City of Calgary for Kingsland. Due to existing policy commitments directed by City Council, and a lack of staff in policy planning at the City, the Board was informed that an ARP would not be prepared for the Kingsland in the near future.
The KCA Board determined the need for a plan and directed the Planning and Development sub-committee to begin work to prepare a document. A call for volunteers to sit on a Steering Committee to guide the development of the Plan was posted in the Kingsland Newsletter and on the community notice board and in businesses around Kingsland. Letters were sent to management companies of multi-family rental properties, condominium boards and businesses inviting participation on the Steering Committee. When developed the draft Plan was posted on the Kingsland Community Association website, and hardcopies of the Plan were made available to the public upon request. On October 29, 2009, in conjunction with the Kingsland Annual General Meeting, the Plan was presented to the public in an open house format for comment. Amendments to the draft plan were made following this public consultation process.

1.2 Purpose of the Plan

The Plan is non-statutory, however consultation with the Ward Alderman, Mr. Brian Pincott, and City staff were on-going through the development process. This Plan has been prepared with the understanding the Kingsland residents and business owners direction outlined in this Plan will be respected when development applications are made to the City. Furthermore, that as the City considers plans for the redevelopment and revitalization projects in Kingsland that this Plan will be reviewed by City staff, and the KCA Board will be consulted prior to work commencing. Lastly this Plan has been prepared to guide the KCA Board and its’ sub-committees as Kingsland redevelops.

1.3 Kingsland Plan Boundary

Located in the southwest quadrant of the City of Calgary, Kingsland is bound by major transportation arteries including Glenmore Trail to the north, Macleod Trail to the east, Heritage Drive to the south, and Elbow Drive to the west.

1.4 History of Kingsland

Kingsland Community was annexed to the City of Calgary in 1956 and established in 1957. The Kingsland Community Association registered their Provincial Society on May 18, 1960; in the year 2010 Kingsland Community celebrates their 50th anniversary as a Society.

1.5 Kingsland Community Social Statistics

By area, the community of Kingsland is predominately single family residences; however 75.1 percent of dwellings in Kingsland are semi-detached homes, row houses or apartments. In 2006, 68.9 percent of dwellings in Kingsland were rented, a high statistic as the City of Calgary average was 27.2%. Other significant demographics in Kingsland include:

- In 2008 the total population in Kingsland was 4,373;

---

1 Source: 2009 City of Calgary, Community and Neighbourhood Services, Social Policy and Planning Division.
Between 2000 and 2005 the median household income in Kingsland dropped from $49,816 to $43,680, a decrease of 12.3%;
- In 2005 19.7% of residents in Kingsland lived in a low-income household;
- In 2006 35.0% of families in Kingsland were headed by a lone parent;
- In 2006 35.2% of seniors in Kingsland lived alone;

The above statistics were reviewed by the Steering Committee and considered in the development of this plan.

2.0 Public Consultation

As noted in the introduction to this Plan, significant public consultation was undertaken by the KCA Board to develop this plan. This section provides the details regarding the public consultation.

2.1 Steering Committee

A Steering Committee was formed to develop the Kingsland Community Plan. The committee consisted of ten (10) members from the community, comprised of residents from single family dwellings and multi-family dwellings, as well as business owners. The call for volunteers for the Steering Committee was advertised in the Kingsland Newsletter, on the community notice board, in businesses in Kingsland and via letters sent to management companies for multi-family dwellings, condominium boards and businesses in Kingsland.

The Steering Committee meetings were held for five (5) consecutive weeks and were lead by the head of the Planning and Development sub-committee. Agendas and minutes from these meetings are included in Appendix A. Main topics for discussion included, visioning, commercial development, residential and multi-family development, transportation and infrastructure, gateways and community identity, and parks, playgrounds, schools and pathways in Kingsland.

2.1.1 Visioning Session

The purpose of the first Steering Committee meeting was a brainstorming session, discussing how the committee would describe Kingsland, what aspects could be improved upon and to discuss the public perception of Kingsland. This brainstorming session provided the committee with the basis to formulate the goals of the committee and the Plan. Please note that the summary listed below is not the opinion of all residents of Kingsland and are only notes taken during the brainstorming session.

Description of Kingsland

- Walkable
- Established Community
- Location – close to downtown, proximity to Rocky View General Hospital, Glenmore reservoir, parks, businesses and services
- Good access to public transit
- A good mix of uses (i.e. single family residential, multifamily residential, commercial)
- Mature trees
- Diversity of residents (seniors, families)
- Dated architecture
- Under-rated

Aspects that could be improved

- Community is separated north/south by road closures and a lack of connectivity
- Community in transition, uncertainty for redevelopment
- Rental properties
- Aesthetics – gravel alleys, overhead utilities
- Pathways, walkways and parks have been neglected and new pedestrian connections are required
- Lands adjacent to the LRT are not maintained
- Lands adjacent to Macleod Trail could be improved as they are redeveloped (i.e. Jack Carter site, Woodbridge site, future Co-op site
- Lack of volunteerism and community memberships in Kingsland
- Need better use of the hockey rink
- Crime, graffiti and vagrancy in the community
- The public perception of Kingsland needs to be improved

The Outsider view of Kingsland

- Expensive real estate
- Where is it?
- A community in transition
- Image that parks, schools etc. are deteriorating
- ‘Dirty’ businesses and other businesses areas along Macleod that do not leave a positive impression
- Boulevard along Macleod Trail could use some landscaping improvements – it is not walkable
- Main roadways (68th, 69th and 75th) through Kingsland do not create a positive impression of Kingsland and are primarily used for short-cutting
- CBE School site – yard is in poorly maintained

Based on the Steering Committee discussion, principles for future meetings were established, these principles are as follows:

The Kingsland Community Plan Steering Committee will:

- Discuss opportunities to develop an identity for Kingsland Community;
- Discuss the types of development / redevelopment appropriate for Kingsland Community, considering location for development to occur and safety of residents (i.e. CPTED);
- Discuss modes of transportation in and around Kingsland and how these modes affect our community (i.e. cars, bicycles, transit, walking);
- Discuss streetscapes, parks, pathways in Kingsland;
- Discuss green initiatives (i.e. walkability, community market) for Kingsland;
- Foster community involvement at all levels; and
- Review gateway opportunities for Kingsland.

2.2 Open House

A public open house was held in conjunction with the Kingsland Annual General Meeting, to present community residents with the draft Kingsland Community Plan. The open house was held on October 29, 2009, at the Kingsland Community Hall. A questionnaire was provided to attendees of the open house, to gain public feedback to the draft Plan. Amendments were made to the draft Plan following the open house.

3.0 Community Development Principles

The Community Development Principles were established during Steering Committee discussions and were presented to the public for additional input at the Open House. These principles were the base for the preparation of the Kingsland Community Plan.

1. Develop an identity for Kingsland Community and review gateway opportunities for Kingsland.
   - The KCA Board should promote Kingsland Community activities in surrounding communities.
   - The KCA Board should work with the Calgary Police Service and/or the City of Calgary in an effort to reduce crime, vagrancy and graffiti in Kingsland.
   - Establish a sub-committee that will:
     - Develop and implement a strategy to strengthen Kingsland’s identity.
     - Identify gateway areas in Kingsland explore grant opportunities and City initiatives to create these gateways in Kingsland. A gateway is considered an aesthetic enhancement in Kingsland and could include, community identification signage, banners / flags, enhanced light standards, etc. along major road networks in Kingsland.

2. Encourage redevelopment and growth in Kingsland that enhances the diversity, existing uses and amenities in Kingsland.
   - The Kingsland Community Planning and Development sub-committee and/or the Board of the Kingsland Community Association shall consider the following when reviewing and responding to proposed development/redevelopment plans in Kingsland:
     - Does the plan meet the vision and requirements outlined in this Plan?
     - Does the plan meet the requirements of the City of Calgary’s Land Use Bylaw, in particular parking and landscaping requirements?
• Does the plan exceed the Land Use Bylaw requirements in regards to landscaping?
• Does the plan provide new community amenities that will be used by area residents (i.e. additional green space, public realm features, sidewalk improvements, pedestrian connections)?
• Does the plan support a mixed-use built-form that creates a mix of uses to meet the needs of Kingsland?
• Does the plan improve the interface conditions between land uses?
• Does the plan integrate new and diverse residential housing types in Kingsland?
• Does the plan include architectural details that incorporate natural elements (i.e. stone, brick) and earth tone colours?
• Do these architectural details integrate with the surrounding buildings?
• Does the plan minimize the impacts of redevelopment by ensuring building heights are compatible with the surrounding buildings or stepped back from adjacent buildings?
• Does the plan minimize the impacts of redevelopment by ensuring lighting is downward facing and is not directed towards residential uses?
• If signage is incorporated into the site, has it been designed so as to not impact adjacent land uses, particularly if the signage is proposed to include lighting?
• Does the plan incorporate Universal Design principles?
• Does the plan incorporate Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) principles?
• How will garbage and recycling be managed?
• If the development will be completed in phases is there an interim plan for the undeveloped areas of the site (i.e. weed control, erosion and sediment control).

3. Enhance existing streetscapes, and create new transportation modes (i.e. cars, bicycles, and pedestrian) in and around Kingsland, with an emphasis on creating an environment that is accessible.
• If residents submit a petition for local improvements to the City of Calgary, and are willing to pay the costs for local improvements, the KCA Board shall support the request.
• Create a Transportation sub-committee that will:
  ▪ Review options to connect the community using all modes of transportation.
  ▪ Work with the Park and Recreation sub-committee to identify areas where vehicular streetscapes could be made more accessible and pedestrian friendly.
  ▪ Discuss with the City traffic management options in the northern part of Kingsland and along 75th Avenue. Where possible discuss options to reduce short-cutting through the community.
  ▪ Review options to enhance closed alleys and roads by adding various forms of soft and hard landscaping.
4. Enhance streetscapes, parks and pedestrian connections in Kingsland.
   - Protect existing parks and school sites in Kingsland from disposition by the City of Calgary and/or School Boards.
   - The KCA Board shall request that developers improve adjacent sidewalks as sites are redeveloped.
   - The KCA Board should review the use of existing public amenities (i.e. hockey rink) and determine if changes these amenities should be undertaken in an attempt to increase use. KCA events to increase awareness of these amenities should also be considered by the Board. The Board should work with the Park and Recreation sub-committee if additional volunteer assistance is required in these efforts.
   - Establish a Park and Recreation sub-committee that will:
     a) Enhance existing school sites by reviewing opportunities for community gardens, naturalized areas, play structure upgrades, etc.
     b) Enhance park sites in Kingsland by reviewing opportunities and the need for, play structure upgrades, landscaping and adopt-a-park requirements. Appendix B – Park Priorities identifies the parks in Kingsland and the Steering Committees opinion of enhancement priorities, for review by the Park and Recreation sub-committee.
     c) Create a more sustainable community by reviewing existing pedestrian connections in Kingsland and identifying where links or new pedestrian connections are required.
     d) Review existing pathways and walkways and work with the City to determine if additional maintenance or upgrades are required to create a safe and accessible community (i.e. removing stairways from walkways and grading areas where ice accumulates in walkways). Elements that could be considered include wider sidewalks, curb extensions, wheelchair ramps, enhanced boulevard landscaping, pedestrian crossings and street furniture.
     e) Review the option to re-align the Regional Pathway from 5th Street to 4A Street to connect existing parks and pathways throughout Kingsland. Furthermore, this re-alignment will provide an alternate pedestrian connection for people accessing businesses along Macleod Trail.
     f) Review the areas adjacent to the LRT and off leash area, and work with the City to determine if additional maintenance is required.
     g) Identify recreation and leisure needs in Kingsland and determine opportunities to meet these needs.
     h) Work with the Transportation sub-committee to identify areas where vehicular streetscapes could be made more accessible and pedestrian friendly (i.e. upgrading bollards, street furniture, landscaping, pedestrian connections).

5. Discuss green initiatives (i.e. walkability, community market) for Kingsland.
   - The KCA Board and sub-committees shall discuss green initiatives for Kingsland and assign initiatives to the sub-committees for implementation. Where possible
the sub-committees shall search for funding to assist with the implementation of these initiatives.

6. Foster community involvement from all areas and age groups within Kingsland.
   - The KCA Board shall discuss approaches to increase the number of community memberships in Kingsland.
   - The KCA Board shall utilize the community board, website and newsletter to increase awareness of community initiatives, events and volunteer opportunities in Kingsland.
   - Increasing volunteerism in the community shall be an on-going focus for the KCA Board. Volunteer opportunities shall be identified by the Board and advertised in the community. The Board should also discuss ways to recognize and reward community volunteers.
   - The KCA Board shall plan events (i.e. day time activities, parties, festivals, community clean-up program, community angels) for groups across the age spectrum to foster community involvement, encourage involvement and support residents that require assistance in the community. A review of existing services and events in the community will be required by the KCA Board to determine what events are required in Kingsland. The focus of this review should be on children, youth and seniors services and events.

4.0 Neighbourhood Structure

Kingsland Community is a diverse neighbourhood undergoing redevelopment. Land uses include single family residential, multi-family residential and commercial uses. Major transportation networks bound the community on all sides. Glenmore Trail, a major east-west artery in Calgary, bounds the community to the north. Macleod Trail bounds the community along the east, and is a connector to the downtown core from South Calgary and Southern Alberta. On the south of Kingsland is Heritage Drive and to the west is Elbow Drive, both roads are major networks in the Calgary system.

Redevelopment projects are currently proposed by developers throughout the community. This Plan has been prepared to guide the redevelopment process as projects are proposed. The guidelines outlined in this section have been developed through extensive public consultation and shall be used by developers, the City of Calgary and the KCA Board and its sub-committees when redevelopment is proposed in Kingsland.

The KCA Board shall support a mix of housing redevelopment proposals, which will meet the needs of diverse lifestyles. Furthermore, the KCA Board should encourage redevelopment of facilities and services in Kingsland, which will meet the needs of residents and provide the community with services that are currently lacking in Kingsland. The review of development proposals by the KCA Board, through the City of Calgary planning processes will ensure high-quality development, which is supported by residents and add to their sense of pride for the community of Kingsland.
The Kingsland community is supportive of redevelopment in Kingsland, however the redevelopment must be high-quality design that enhances the community, incorporates additional pedestrian connections and/or green spaces, and meets the needs of the community. Where possible, ‘green initiatives’ (i.e. xeriscaping, green roofs, permeable pavement, etc.) should be incorporated into a site design.

**It is strongly recommended by the KCA Board that a developer contact the KCA Board early in the site design / planning process to ensure adequate discussion between the KCA Board and the developer prior to submission of an application.**

### 4.1 Residential Redevelopment

While the majority of land area in Kingsland is made up of single-family residences, the majority of dwelling units in Kingsland are comprised of multi-residential units. These multi-residential units are predominately located in the northern portion of the community.

#### 4.1.1 Single-Family Residential

The single-family residential dwellings in Kingsland are predominately bungalow style typical of dwellings in the 1950’s. If single family redevelopment is proposed, the dwelling shall blend with the existing residences and the dwelling height shall be respectful of adjacent dwelling heights (i.e. if two storeys are proposed stepping back the side-yard elevation).

4.1.1 At the development permit stage for single-family redevelopment, the developer should provide the KCA Board with a cross-section to review. The cross-section should identify adjacent building heights (approximate) and proposed building heights to illustrate to the KCA Board how the proposed building will transition into the existing streetscape.

Kingsland is not currently undergoing infill redevelopment; however when the City of Calgary begins to encourage infill single-family redevelopment this section shall be revised to incorporate infill guidelines.

#### 4.1.2 Residential – Contextual One/Two Dwelling (R-C2) District

As noted in the introduction of this Plan, KCA is experiencing tremendous pressure to redevelop, particularly in the areas currently zoned R-C2. The community believes the R-C2 district, and the housing it provides is necessary to allow for a variety of housing types in Kingsland. Kingsland will support the infill of appropriate R-C2 housing (i.e. satisfying the City of Calgary contextual rules), in the form of a duplex or semi-detached dwelling. Our Planning and Development sub-committee will review residential redesignation applications in Kingsland; however the redesignation of R-C2 parcels to allow for higher densities in Kingsland is discouraged.

#### 4.1.3 Multi-family Residential

Approximately 75% of the housing in Kingsland is multi-family residential units. The Steering
Committee is supportive of additional multi-family in Kingsland if the site is comprehensively planned to integrate with adjacent uses and sites, incorporates high-quality building materials and elements, and provides additional green space and/or pedestrian connections in the community. The following guidelines have been established to guide developers in their site design process and to assist the KCA Board (or Planning and Development sub-committee) when reviewing redevelopment proposals. New multi-family units should be suitably located on the periphery of the community, near services and transit. The KCA Board will review a proposed multi-family site within the community, however, row housing or townhouses are the preferred built form to ensure the multi-family blends with existing single-family residential.

4.1.2 The KCA Board should consider a multi-family building to a maximum of 4 storeys if the following is provided to the KCA Board by the developer at the Development Permit stage (it is strongly recommended the following information be reviewed with the KCA Board prior to submission of an application):

a) Appropriate transitioning (i.e. building to be stepped back from adjacent uses particularly in the side-yard). A streetscape cross-section must be provided for consideration by the KCA Board.
b) Additional green space, parks and/or pedestrian connections are dedicated or created.
c) Well-designed amenity space that includes a variety of landscaping features that are comprised primarily of native species.
d) A parking plan with all parking provided on-site, which meet the requirements of the Land Use Bylaw.
e) A shadow impact analysis highlighting shadows between March 21 and September 21 between the hours of 10:00am and 4:00pm Mountain Daylight Time.
f) Garbage and recycling collection collected off the rear lanes or from within the building structure, and properly screened from adjacent uses.
g) Any larger parking areas shall include landscaped islands to break up the paved areas, reduce traffic speeds and add landscaping within the site.
h) Lighting fixtures shall be downward facing to minimize the impact of the site lighting requirements on adjacent uses and to reduce light pollution.
i) Mechanical equipment shall be screened from adjacent uses.
j) Text noting how public safety was considered when developing the site, incorporating Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) principles.
k) Signage identifying the multi-family building shall enhance the site. It is preferred that signage not include lighting; if required lighting should be minimized and face downward so as not to impact adjacent land uses. If signage is proposed, it shall be incorporated into the site plan and examples shall be provided.
l) Natural elements and building materials shall be incorporated into the building design. Building colours should be natural and blend in with the surrounding community.
m) Interesting architectural elements that break up the facade, particularly along the streetscape.
n) Building and landscaping materials should require low maintenance to ensure they do not appear to be in disrepair.

Note: Additional information may be requested by the KCA Board upon review of these requirements and the overall proposed development.

4.1.3 The above information may be requested by the KCA Board at the Land Use Amendment stage if a land use amendment is required to permit multi-family housing.

4.1.4 The KCA Board may request the developer host a Public Open House, with KCA Board members in attendance to gather public feedback in regards to the proposal.

4.1.5 Multi-family buildings in excess of 4 storeys will be considered by the KCA Board if adjacent to Glenmore Trail or Macleod Trail. Requirements listed in guideline 4.1.2 must be provided to the KCA Board for review.

4.1.6 It is preferred by the KCA Board that all new multi-family residential buildings include requirements for a Management Board to be established, to manage the site when the developer is not longer managing a site.

4.1.2.1 Secondary Suites

The Kingsland Community Association will review applications for secondary suites that meet City of Calgary requirements (i.e. parking, fire regulations, etc.). Our community is comprised of residents across the lifestyle and age spectrum. Secondary suites can financially support our residents, allowing residents to remain in Kingsland.

4.1.2.2 Affordable Housing

Affordable Housing is supported by the community in Kingsland. Guidelines that shall be considered for this type of housing include:

4.1.7 Affordable housing units should be located near commercial areas and transit stops or on the periphery of Kingsland to provide residents with the amenities and services they may require. The KCA Board shall review proposals for affordable housing elsewhere in the community.

4.1.8 Affordable housing should be incorporated into new multi-family residential proposals by providing units with smaller floor plans within a building that would be more affordable.

4.1.9 Affordable housing proposals shall include the requirements listed in 4.1.2.

4.2 Commercial Redevelopment

Commercial areas in Kingsland are located along Macleod Trail and Elbow Drive. Macleod Trail commercial uses predominately service Calgary and the majority are not neighbourhood oriented. Kingsland Plaza, located on Elbow Drive within the Kingsland Community Plan
boundary, services the communities in the area. It is preferred that chain stores and large big box stores, if they are to be located in Kingsland, be located along Macleod Trail. Other commercial uses should be located on Macleod Trail and in existing commercial land uses only.

It is strongly recommended that developers meet with the KCA Board to discuss a proposal prior to the submission of a development permit to the City of Calgary.

4.2.1 Deleted

4.2.2 All commercial development in Kingsland shall incorporate the following (it is strongly recommended the following information be reviewed with the KCA Board prior to submission of a development permit application):

a) Mechanical equipment shall be screened from adjacent uses.
b) New commercial should enhance the public realm and create areas for the public to gather. Examples of this include:
c) art,
d) additional landscaping (over requirements of the Land Use Bylaw),
e) unique pavement materials or permeable pavement,
f) canopies, boulevard and sidewalk design, railings, fencing, lighting, signage and street furniture that compliment the architecture of the building and creates an identity for the site while blending with the community, and
g) identifiable pedestrian and gathering areas.
h) Garbage and recycling collection must be collected off the rear lanes and be properly screened from adjacent uses.
i) Public safety shall be considered when developing a site, incorporating Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) principles.
j) Sites shall be designed to be accessible by everyone. Universal Design principles shall be incorporated into the site. Municipal Affairs has produced a document entitled, ‘Barrier-Free Design Guide’ (July 2008) that could be used as a guide for site and building design.
k) A parking plan with all parking provided on-site, which meet the requirements of the Land Use Bylaw.
l) Any larger parking areas shall include landscaped islands to break up the paved areas, reduce traffic speeds and add landscaping within the site.
m) Methods for managing traffic adjacent to and within the site, by reviewing circulation patterns and capacities of roads, and reducing opportunities for short cutting.
n) Noise from the proposed use(s) shall not impact adjacent residential uses in any way.
o) Site lighting fixtures shall be downward facing to minimize the impact of the site lighting requirements on adjacent uses and to reduce light pollution.
p) Where possible, signage should not include lighting, particularly no neon lighting.
q) Signage shall not impact adjacent land uses. Signage on Macleod Trail or Elbow Drive that is proposed to include lighting should be on the Macleod Trail or Elbow Drive facade only.
r) Freestanding signs should be minimized whenever possible.
s) Buffering requirements if visual and/or noise impacts with adjacent land uses are anticipated.
t) Natural elements and building materials shall be incorporated into the building design. Building colours should be natural and blend in with the surrounding community.
u) Interesting architectural elements that break up the facade, particularly along the streetscape.
v) Building and landscaping materials should require low maintenance to ensure they do not appear to be in disrepair.

Note: Additional information may be requested by the KCA Board upon review of these requirements and the proposed development.

4.2.3 The above information may be requested by the KCA Board at the Land Use Amendment stage if a land use amendment is required to permit a commercial use.

4.2.4 The KCA Board may request the developer host a Public Open House, with KCA Board members in attendance to gather public feedback in regards to the proposal.

4.3 Deleted

5.0 Interpretation

KCA Board – Means the Kingsland Community Association Board.

Plan – Refers to the Kingsland Community Plan.

Shall – Where ‘shall’ is used in guideline, the guideline is considered mandatory.

Should – Where ‘should’ is used in a guideline, the intent is that the guideline is to be complied with.

Sub-committee – Means a sub-committee of the KCA Board that is directed by the KCA Board.

Universal Design – Design that creates accessible areas for everyone to enjoy. Universal design considers how an environment is constructed, incorporating buildings and products into a site that are accessible for disabled people, families with young children and strollers, and the elderly that require assistance to name only a few. A site is designed to meet the needs of the community while blending aesthetically into the site.
6.0 Implementation

From time to time, the Kingsland Community Plan may have to be updated. A yearly review of the Plan by the KCA Board shall be undertaken prior to the Annual General Meeting to determine if an update is required. Updates will be presented at the Annual General Meeting.

This Plan has been prepared with the understanding the Kingsland residents and business owners direction outlined in this Plan will be respected when development applications are made to the City. Furthermore, that as the City of Calgary considers plans for the redevelopment and revitalization projects in Kingsland this Plan will be reviewed by City staff and the KCA Board will be consulted prior to work commencing. Lastly, this Plan has been prepared to guide the KCA Board and sub-committees as Kingsland redevelops. Sub-committees will be established by the KCA Board following the acceptance of this Plan by Kingsland residents. The KCA Board and its’ sub-committees shall use the table found in Appendix C – Implementation Plan as a guide for the work required by the KCA Board and each sub-committee.
Appendix A: Steering Committee Agendas and Minutes

Steering Committee Meeting #1
April 22, 2009 @ 6:30 pm

Introductions
Logistics
Issues / Conflicts
Community Boundaries
Visioning Session – Group Workshop
- Objectives
- Group discussion
- Vision preparation

Next Meeting: April 22, 2009 at 6:30pm
Topic: Commercial Development
Guest: Gibbs Gage Architects – Architect for Woodridge Site

Minutes
Present: Cathi Groves, Trinda, Alan, Stewart, Kelly, Jen, Tom, Tanya, Ross, Craig

Intros

Logistics

Issues/conflicts – how to deal with those?
- Group consensus or voting (secret ballot or hands)
- Voting – 2/3 majority by show of hands

Handout – Map of community (please bring with you to each meeting)
- Brandy – an overview of community boundaries

After all meetings, Brandy will take away notes and come up with a plan by September. Open House in September (afterwards). Then submitted to Alderman Pincott.

City prepares ARP (Area Redevelopment Plan) – adopted by by-law
- Not doing an ARP for Kingsland
- So we will do this plan – get buy-in from Pincott
- Plan-It will be the overall guide for development (hopefully adopted in June)
- Plan-It doing pilot projects – pushing for Kingsland to be one of those
Live-It also underway

VISIONING

**Goal of the evening:** KCA steering committee will prepare a plan that…

Question #1: Describe Kingsland

- Walkable
- Established
- Tremendous location – close to downtown
- Access is good driving
- Public transit is good (advantage for business owners, too)
- Shift in residential projects (towards more upscale)
- Easier to get multi-family, higher density here (higher quality redevelopment)
- Convenient
- Good mix – a little bit of everything (residential, commercial)
- Mature trees
- Diversity (seniors, families)
- Under-rated
- Dated
- In need of new architecture, new architectural code
- Improving
- Great local amenities
- Close to a hospital
- Close to the reservoir

Aspects that can be improved

- uncertainty about Heritage/Macleod interchange (construction/closures)
- M-C1 parcel
- Question about DCZ2004
- Lots of older generation doesn’t want change (need to figure out a way to have everyone embrace it)
- Paving of back alleys
- Income properties (a lot of them)
- Park situation – low-quality play structures (lots of grants can be applied for)
- Aesthetics – gravel alleyways, etc. – Power lines underground – stairs/pathways
- Paving might encourage shopping carts / bottle collecting
- Landscaping along train tracks / LRT
- Divided community (69th N/S and 4A St E/W)
- Cut-through traffic on 69th Ave (75th Ave, too)
- Garbage from commercial spots blowing over – some sort of buffer
- Pedestrian connectivity – Macleod Trail (along LRT line) – needs a sidewalk
- Macleod Trail would get more pedestrian traffic if it looked nicer
- Lots of potential for NE corner – Jack Carter land available, may happen with Shaganappi – need to give people a reason to walk
- Why is Co-op building a 26 pump gas station? What’s it going to add? Disconnect here.
- Lack of volunteerism – how can we get younger people involved?
- No one is using the rink
- Message about community isn’t out there yet either – still discovering parts of it – we need to share the message – how do we do that?
- Lack of community association memberships
- Vagrancy / graffiti
- Crime
Outsider view of Kingsland

- Expensive real estate
- Where is it? Think about branding
- Area in transition
- Image that parks, schools, etc. may be deteriorating
- Dirty businesses
- People don’t see much of the community (can only drive along 68th/69th and 75th) – just improving these streets would improve impressions
- Lots of commercial issues – liquor store needs to be cleaned up (it’s a gateway to the community)
- Boulevard along Macleod trail (where the side street is) – could use some landscaping
- CBE has an obligation to keep up with school yard – they are part of the community
- Google about trailer park
- Heritage station needs to be updated

The KCA Steering Committee will create a plan that:

- Will create Kingsland’s identity
- Will review the kind of development, redevelopment, architecture and associated infrastructure appropriate for Kingsland (locations for specific kinds of development) (CPTED)
- Will discuss transportation and transit in and around Kingsland and how they affect our community
- Will discuss streetscapes/landscapes in Kingsland
- Will discuss green options (walkable, part of the branding, community market)
- Will foster community involvement at all levels

Next week: Commercial development - what services do we need? Want?

**Steering Committee Meeting #2**
April 29, 2009 @ 6:30 pm

- Introductions
Guiding Principles
Plan It Introduction
Gibbs Gage Presentation
Steering Committee Discussion – Re: Woodridge Site
Commercial Discussion

**Next Meeting:** May 6, 2009 at 6:30pm

**Topic:** Commercial Development Wrap-up (if required)
Residential and Multi-Residential Discussion
Minutes

Present: Trinda, Alan, Kelly, Jen, Tom, Craig
Ann Clarke (attended a portion of the meeting)

Plan It Calgary
Neighbourhood Boulevards (i.e. Elbow Drive)

- Support retail and medium density residential corridors
- Pedestrians and cyclists have priority
- Destinations
- Incorporation of high quality urban design and green infrastructure

Neighbourhood Corridors – handout provided

Gibbs Gage Presentation
Chad – Gibbs Gage

- presentation on Woodridge site
- anchor tenant is Future Shop
- development based on their architecture (contemporary – white cream stucco, etc.)
- We can send in a report to The City – what are our comments?
  - Better than a car dealership
  - No signage on the south side of the Future Shop
  - Site lighting – “dark sky lighting”
  - Access on Macleod trail – one access is not enough – could back up traffic on Macleod
  - Perhaps not enough parking – often employees encouraged to park offsite (Parking does meet City standards)
  - Construction – ask for the affected condo Boards and KCA to be notified in advance of any road closures – dust minimized
  - Pylon signs – more distinctive architectural approach?
    - Probably not a battle we could win
    - Maybe more trees between commercial and residential (a buffer between the two)

General Commercial Discussion
Macleod

- Focus on landscaping – bigger trees from the start
- Focus on identity – creating gateways
- Architectural details
- Traffic circulation/capacities/concerns about shortcutting
- Noise

What kinds of uses?

- No big bars
- Developments that residents will use
• Somewhere to get produce (market?)
• Post office
• Bank
• Bookstore
• Antique store
• Coffee shop
• Opportunity for professional offices (medical, dental, massage therapy)
• Personal services (hair dresser)
• Athletic shop
• M and M meat shop
• Suggest to Woodridge developer to look to retail and services provided in Kingsland Plaza to provide these uses within walking distance to the north-eastern portion of Kingsland Community.

**Steering Committee Meeting #3**
*May 6, 2009 @ 6:30 pm*

• Parking Passes

Commercial Review / Wrap-up
Demographics of Kingsland
Plan-it Discussion – Residential
Residential / Multi-Residential Development Discussion

**Next Meeting:**  *May 13, 2009 at 6:30pm*

Topic: Residential Development Wrap-up (if required)
- Parks, Pathways, Amenities, Programs (i.e. youth, seniors, etc.)
*Bring a photo of your favourite / least favourite park in Kingsland*

**Minutes**

Attendees: Tom, Stewart, Cathi, Kelly, Ross, Trinda, Brandy

**Commercial Continued**

• Brian Pincott visited Kingsland Community Board meeting last week and will make sure the side walk is wider and matches what Plan-It suggests for an Urban Corridor
• suggestion was made to check out the new lighting at Heritage Park parking area to see if it is a good option for the parking lot.
  ➢ concern may be about cost

**Residential**
1. Do we need more multi-family housing in Kingsland
   1. Yes – if it backs onto existing green space
      1. Or developer can create green space on development
   2. Yes – on the periphery of community
      1. i.e. Glenmore Trail
      2. Walkable
      3. good pedestrian corridors
   3. Yes – with appropriate parking
      1. Underground parking
      2. Garages
      3. Contained on-site
   4. Yes – depending on market factors
   5. Yes – depending on style of building
      1. Must meet minimum standards of community
   6. Yes – Medium density for near Glenmore
      1. No higher than 4 levels
   7. No - we have enough high/medium density already
      1. There is a concern about who rents in Kingsland already
      2. What are the types of people who will rent

Review of Kingsland Demographics – hand out

Plan-It Highlights

1. Encourages multi-housing options to help it answer the needs of a diverse population
   1. Apartments
   2. Townhouses
   3. Rowhousing
   4. Duplexes
   5. Single family homes
2. Encouraging companies to stay in the community to make it a more viable community

Graffiti

1. Is a concern
2. Call 3-1-1 for clean-up
3. What can we do to encourage preventative measures to help remove it in our area? To be discussed by the KCA Board

Types, Forms and Development Discussion

Forms – Elbow Drive

1. Like retail / commercial with residential above
2. Encourages cooperation between uses (i.e. retail / residential)
3. Very pedestrian environment on Elbow and vehicle access from lane
   1. 2 access points to retail
4. Zoning will change if Plan-it is adopted
   1. Over 50 years, not happening tomorrow
2. Will happen as redevelopment occurs

5. Proper transitioning
   1. how will homes change or be affected behind commercial / retail & residential

6. Concerns about alleys – 2 frontages may help
   1. Graffiti
   2. Paving

7. Group in KC to help clean up businesses?
   1. Community cleanup program
      1. City can provide recycling trucks / prizes, etc

8. Need for Community Angels / Appreciation Programs
   1. Fences, butters, snow

9. Have sub-committees on board and ask for volunteers

**Exteriors**

1. Natural element to architectural forms (i.e. brick or stone)
   1. Low maintenance materials
2. No neon signage / unlit or minimal
3. Signage
   1. On buildings
   2. Minimal free standing signs
4. Reduce visual pollution (i.e. downward facing lighting – ‘dark sky’ initiatives)
5. Landscaping
   1. Native species / Chinook hardy
   2. Green water options (i.e. rain barrel watering)
6. Encourage green roofs
7. Flags on gateways
   1. Fundraising
   2. Speed control
8. Encourage Walkability throughout and adjacent to the site

**Kingsland General**

1. Proper transitioning between all development types
2. Architectural from similar to Elbow Elements
   1. i.e. natural / low maintenance materials
3. Encourage Walkability
4. Encourage developer to create green space and parks above Land Use Bylaw requirements
5. Proper parking for a site
6. Row housing / town houses preferred
7. Up to 4 stories with appropriate transitioning unless within 1 block of Macleod / Glenmore could be increased heights with appropriate transitioning

---

**Steering Committee Meeting #4**
May 13, 2009 @ 6:30 pm
Parking Passes

Residential Wrap-up / Affordable Housing

Medical Clinic Site

Transportation and Infrastructure

Gateways / Community Identity

Implementation

Next Meeting: May 20, 2009 at 6:30pm

Topic: Parks, Pathways, Amenities, Programs (i.e. youth, seniors, etc.)

*Bring a photo of your favourite / least favourite park in Kingsland

Minutes

Attendees: Brandy, Ann, Tanya, Tom, Kelly, Jen, Trinda

Review of last week

Affordable Housing

- Opportunities for co-op housing
- Some units being 1200 sq ft, some 800-900 – variety that can make some new options available
- Preferred: Better suited near areas that are near transit and other existing multi-residential housing
  - Would consider developments that are in other areas if appropriate
- Talk with Cathi re: alternatives to by-law, how to deal with issues in the community (is there someone else at The City that we can talk to? Should it be a KCA board position?)
- Try to encourage more high-end developments in area north of 69th Ave

Changes to Plan-It

- ***Is a letter needed for the changes to Elbow Drive? KCA Board to talk about it
- We were excited about the original plans and are disappointed that it’s been changed – maybe we still want to be a neighbourhood corridor
- Might be worth talking to Alderman Pincott

Medical Clinic Site

- Met with planner and owner of site
- Just north of St. Augustine on 7th St and 68th Ave (vacant lot with fence right now)
- Land Use Amendment will be required
- Could be one lot or two lots developed
- Earlier stage than Gibbs Gage Woodridge site so not as detailed plans
- Looking at perhaps at naturopathic clinic, massage therapy, etc.
- All sites allow for 10 metres (3 stories)
- Conversation about details of different options…
- Will talk about it next week. They’re not going to City Council – they want to talk to us first. Haven’t had their pre-application meeting with The City yet.
  - Issues: They want to decrease setbacks on the first two
  - Garbage pickup on the laneway
- We need to come up with questions for the developers, then we can go to the adjacent landowners
- We’d like to see images of what the building will look like
Transportation and Infrastructure

- What are different things we can do to improve around Kingsland?
  - Paving the alleys (per 50 feet): 8m wide - $6858 ($655/year for 15 years = $10,000) – 2/3rds of people have to agree (people who live in entire lane). Maintenance would be done by city
  - Sidewalk (curb and gutter) for 50 foot lot: $2842.26 or $272/year for 15 years (total is more than $4000) (additional costs for side of house if necessary)

Strategies for local improvements –

- KCA would assist if a group of residents were interested in this
- Could request at development permit stage – if sidewalks are run-down that it be part of development (install curb cuts)
- Request that the city replace the community bollards (old ones were never treated, easy to rust)

More trees on 75th Ave?

- Suggesting a sub-committee on enhancements for Kingsland? Can walk around the community and come up with improvements.

IMPLEMENTATION

- At the end of the document, there needs to be an implementation section (announce different sub-committees)
  - Can attract people who are passionate about particular issues
- Could see the final document going to everyone in the whole community along with an invite to an open house
- Maybe we can dovetail this with Plan-It (meeting with Mary Axworthy and Brian Pincott)
- Plan will be a living document – Invitation to board, committee members and residents in September 2010 to review the plan, what has been done and update the plan as required.

NEXT STEPS

- Brandy to take notes away and produce draft document
- Meeting again in first week of September to discuss and update
- Keep Mary and Brian Pincott up-to-date
- Need to be ready by first week of October – 29th AGM

TRANSPORTATION

- Cut-through traffic is horrendous – not just rush hour, it’s all day. Commercial traffic (semis, city buses). It’s a residential street. Glenmore is three blocks away. 75th is a low-volume collector. People should be using Heritage and Glenmore.
  - Speeding
  - Short-cutting
  - Commercial vehicles
- Traffic tables and traffic humps
- 67th, 68th, 69th should be the focus
- Create a wish list and go after it – sub-committee needed
Steering Committee Meeting #5
May 20, 2009 @ 6:30 pm

- Parking Passes
- Medical Clinic Site
- Transportation and Infrastructure – Mapping Discussion
- Parks
- Playgrounds
- Pathways
- Walkways (connections through alleys)
- Programs

Next Meeting: Tentatively Scheduled – Wednesday September 2, 2009
@ 6:30 pm KCA (TBC via email)

Purpose: to discuss committee comments from the Steering committee review (draft plan will be forwarded via email in late August)

Minutes

Attendees: Ross, Stewart, Kelly, Tanya, Trinda, Jennifer, Brandy

Medical Clinic Site

- overview of options again (group will be making presentation at June 25th board meeting)
- Need to discuss if we want garbage to come out by the lane
- Also asked for reduction in setbacks (closer to 7th street)

Comments and questions

- We were trying to target commercial space more at the fringes of the community, this may be too far in
- Will they be renting the apartments or selling them as condos
- Might be amenable (it is pretty close to Elbow) if we knew that the building was brownstones or something similar that would blend in with the community (don’t have those plans in place yet – they wanted to hear from us first)
- There are big trees there – they would need to be cut down
- The alley is not very wide – one vehicle only
- Sense that parking is already an issue
- Comparison with the new eye clinic – only one floor, nice rooflines, aesthetically pleasing.
- Three stories seems excessive (general agreement)
- If I was across the street, I would not be happy
- Suggestion: Garbage collection would need to be identified for us to decide on support (at permit stage)
- Nice to have a mix of office/residential – need to know if it’s rental or owned – condo board would have more power to tell the owner
- Suggestion: prefer that residential units to be condo units (there’s already lots of places to rent in the area)
- Probably going to be more multi-story development in the area
- Board asking for them to get in contact with adjacent landowners
FOR PURPOSES OF OUR DEVELOPMENT PLAN: Suggestion that we put a boundary in our plan around where we would like to see commercial development.

OUR CHOICE: Choice 2B with two stories and shifting the building over, architectural details being presented to us – also lots of landscaping. (Option 1 – their preferred choice – would be okay, too – if it could blend in by looking at a house. Two stories we would need to discuss and no reduction in setback and lots of landscaping).

TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE

- review of last week

PARKS

Why do use the parks that you do?

Chinook Park

- good example of what we want. Newer, rubber soft landing surface, well-maintained.
- Negatives: no shade

St. Augustine (okay)

- Kids don’t seem to care which park we go to.
- Lots of different kinds of groups using the space (tennis, Frisbee, families out together)

7th Street (low priority on Parks list)

- very dated
- Grass grows very long.
- Poorly maintained (bench)
- Lots of trees, but not maintained.
- The Rocket is a favourite
- Keep the structures; just clean it up a bit.
- Adopt-A-Park program

Is it a possibility for us to have businesses raise money? Yes. We can have partnerships. Lots of grants available.

We should direct the KCA board to figure out how to get money and apply for grants.

CBE Lands

- Need to contact the CBE to deal with them.
- Talk to them about the building not being maintained, trees.
- Put in a community garden perhaps
- Also could think about an off-leash area

Kingsland School Site

- not for little kids, no slides
- Look at meeting with groups currently in school
- Old playground site needs to be cleaned up
- Needs to be more uses (i.e. community garden)

Shaganappi Park

- No sidewalk on 4A
- All gravel
- Pay attention to interface when dealership is redeveloped

KCA Park

- Like the hill
- Would be more of a gathering site if there was a playground
- In the dry pond – needs more trees and pathways – give it more interest
- Central fountain, babbling brook…
- Benches, picnic tables, gazebos, BBQ areas
- Off-leash area is often muddy from tire tracks, needs more grass
- New kind of park: all-season treadmills, other adult equipment
- Parking is an issue when there are sports activities going on

68th and 6th Site

- Fairly well-used
- Very small site
- Potential for another Adopt-A-Park?

Where are our priorities?

#1 – Kingsland School site (long-term)

#2 – (Short-term) – start with the park of the 7th

#3 – The KCA site also an important site

PATHWAYS AND WALKWAYS

Where do I walk in Kingsland?

- Stores – Shoppers Drug Mart plaza
- Parks
- Recreation
- Walk to Chinook
- Walking the dog
- Commuting

5th Street is part of regional pathway
Pathway on Heritage (north side) that they created looks terrible – looks unfinished. Dirt and gravel.

Dry Pond Pathway

- Better lighting?
- Make it feel safe
- Motion detector pointing the wrong way
4A Street could be the best place for a regional pathway – could it be relocated? Discussion to be had with the City of Calgary. (there seemed to be quite a bit of excitement about this)

- connects to parks, connects to commercial
- ties into the Kingsland site, possible community garden
- ties into the Woodridge site development
- more walkable to commercial
- ANOTHER SUB-COMMITTEE – parks, regional pathways
  - Also more east-west pathway connections are needed

WALKWAYS AND ALLEYS

- In poor shape
- Ice traps or very muddy
- Lots of stairs (barrier-free needed), handrails are broken
- Drainage issues north of 75th
- Can we Adopt-A-Walkway?
- Bollards
  - Instead of concrete bollards, if alley is going to be permanently closed, plant trees instead
  - Asphalt in poor condition
Appendix B: Park Priorities

The Kingsland Community Plan Steering Committee reviewed all existing parks in Kingsland and set a priority for upgrades or enhancements if necessary. The following is a summary of these discussions, for review and implementation by the Parks and Recreation sub-committee.

7th Street Park – Landscaping #1 Priority / Park Amenities low priority

- Dated
- Kids like it
- Like the rocket but it needs painting
- Equipment – low priority for replacement – people like the retro feel of the park
- Landscaping a high priority for enhancements
- Poorly maintained
  - Trees – better upkeep is needed – trees are in good shape overall
  - Benches – state of benches to be reviewed by the sub-committee

Kingsland School site – CBE Site #1 Priority

- Eyesore
- Park not for little kids – no slides
- Old playground site needs to be cleaned up
- More uses needed on the site (i.e. basketball hoops)
- Front park (west side) is OK
- Sub-committee to contact CBE and find out what can be done on the site, in particular to discuss a community garden or more landscaping on the site
- Sub-committee to use St. Augustine school site as an example of what should be at the Kingsland school site

Kingsland Community Association Park - #1 Priority

- Hill is a nice amenity in the summer and winter
- A playground at this site would be beneficial
- Needs more trees / pathways / benches / amenities (i.e. benches, fountains, gazebo, BBQ site) – use the area on the north side of Glenmore/east and west of Elbow as an example
- Off leash area is very muddy and in poor shape – needs grass. Vehicles currently drive through the site and leave tire marks
- Better lighting is required along the pathway – option with a motion detector?
- A good location for boot camps?
- Sub-committee to explore options for more adult equipment that would attract boot camps. Group to also discuss options with the City parks department to address the above comments.

80th Avenue – Low Priority

- Tot- lot and swings are nice
• Convenient location
• Adopt a park opportunities? Neighbour takes care of trees on his own.
• Sub-committee to keep an eye on the park and if trees or maintenance is required to call the City

St. Augustine School site – Low Priority

• 'The big park' according to kids / OK for toddlers
• Well used and well maintained
• Used for Frisbee, soccer, tennis and basketball

4A Street Park (Adjacent to Shaganappi Site) – Low Priority

a) Toddler park
b) Gravel surface
c) No sidewalk on 4A street
d) Fairly well maintained
e) Pay attention to the interface with this park when the dealership is redeveloped

68th 6th Street Park – Low Priority

• Good for all ages
• Fairly well used
• Needs to be adopted by an area resident to ensure park is cleaned up and maintained
## Appendix C: Implementation Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community Development Principle</th>
<th>Responsibility Committee or Board</th>
<th>Task Assigned</th>
<th>Funding Type (CA – Community Association, City of Calgary or Private)</th>
<th>City of Calgary Department Consultation / Assistance</th>
<th>Timing to Complete</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>KCA Board</td>
<td>Promote Kingsland Community activities in surrounding communities</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Community and Neighbourhood Services</td>
<td>On-going</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>KCA Board</td>
<td>Utilize the community board, website and newsletter to increase awareness of community initiatives, events and volunteer opportunities in Kingsland</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td></td>
<td>On-going</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>KCA Board</td>
<td>Work with the Calgary Police Service and / or the City of Calgary in an effort to reduce crime, vagrancy and graffiti in Kingsland</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Calgary Police Service Community and Neighbourhood Services</td>
<td>On-going</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Planning and Development Committee</td>
<td>Develop and implement a strategy to strengthen Kingsland’s identity</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Planning and Development Committee</td>
<td>Identify gateway areas in Kingsland explore grant opportunities and City initiatives to create these gateways in Kingsland</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Community and Neighbourhood Services</td>
<td>Fall 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Planning and Development Committee</td>
<td>Review and respond to proposed development / redevelopment plans in Kingsland</td>
<td>Planning and Development</td>
<td></td>
<td>On-going</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Planning and Development Committee</td>
<td>Review options to connect the community using all modes of transportation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Planning and Development Committee</td>
<td>Identify areas where vehicular streetscapes could be made more accessible and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Planning and Development Committee</td>
<td>Discuss with the City traffic management options in the northern part of Kingsland and along 75th Avenue. Where possible discuss options to reduce short-cutting through the community.</td>
<td>Roads Transportation</td>
<td>Fall 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Planning and Development Committee</td>
<td>Review options to enhance closed alleys and roads by adding various forms of soft and hard landscaping.</td>
<td>CA City of Calgary Private Parks Roads Transportation</td>
<td>Fall 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>KCA Board</td>
<td>The KCA Board should review the use of existing public amenities (i.e. hockey rink) and determine if changes these amenities should be undertaken.</td>
<td>CA City of Calgary Parks Community and Neighbourhood Services</td>
<td>Spring 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Planning and Development Committee</td>
<td>Enhance existing school sites by reviewing opportunities for community gardens, naturalized areas, play structure upgrades, etc.</td>
<td>CA Calgary Board of Education City of Calgary Parks Community and Neighbourhood Services</td>
<td>On-going</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Planning and Development Committee</td>
<td>Enhance park sites in Kingsland by reviewing opportunities and the need for, play structure upgrades, landscaping and adopt-a-park requirements. See Appendix B.</td>
<td>CA City of Calgary Parks Community and Neighbourhood Services</td>
<td>Fall 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Planning and Development Committee</td>
<td>Review existing pedestrian connections in Kingsland and identify where links or new pedestrian connections are required.</td>
<td>City of Calgary Roads Transportation Parks</td>
<td>Fall 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Planning and Development Committee</td>
<td>Review existing pathways and walkways and work with the City to determine if additional maintenance or</td>
<td>City of Calgary Roads Transportation Parks</td>
<td>Fall 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Committee/Board</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Responsible Parties</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Planning and Development Committee</td>
<td>Review the need to re-align the Regional Pathway from 5th Street to 4A Street.</td>
<td>City of Calgary, Roads Transportation Parks</td>
<td>Fall 2010 – Abandoned Determined 4A is the best alignment for the City overall.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Planning and Development Committee</td>
<td>Review the areas adjacent to the LRT and off leash area, and work with the City to determine if additional maintenance is required.</td>
<td>City of Calgary, Parks</td>
<td>Fall 2010 Complete will be upgraded by the City.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Planning and Development Committee</td>
<td>Identify recreation and leisure needs in Kingsland and determine opportunities to meet these needs.</td>
<td>CA, City of Calgary, Parks</td>
<td>Fall 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Planning and Development Committee</td>
<td>Identify areas where vehicular streetscapes could be made more accessible and pedestrian friendly.</td>
<td>CA, City of Calgary, Parks</td>
<td>Fall 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>KCA Board</td>
<td>The KCA Board shall discuss approaches to increase the number of community memberships in Kingsland.</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>On-going</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>KCA Board</td>
<td>Increase volunteerism in the community.</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>On-going</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>KCA Board</td>
<td>The KCA Board shall plan events for groups across the age spectrum to foster community involvement, encourage involvement and support residents that require assistance in the community. A review of existing services and events in the community</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>On-going</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
will be required by the KCA Board to determine what events are required in Kingsland. The focus of this review should be on children, youth and seniors services and events.

Implementation Plan – 2010 Update

Your Board and Transportation and Infrastructure and Planning and Development sub-committees have completed the following over the past year.

- Partnered with the CKE Community Association to bring you the 2010 Aldermanic Candidates Forum;
- Arranged for a graffiti clean-up day in Kingsland (postponed to Spring 2011 due to a lack of volunteers);
- Numerous graffiti reports were made throughout Kingsland;
- Worked with the Kingsland Farmer’s Market during their development permit process;
- Represented residents for the land use amendment application on 69th Avenue;
- Represented residents for the development permit processes located at the old Woodridge site and the old Wealthstreet building;
- Represented residents on a variety of other smaller applications being processed through the City;
- Tried to work with the City to clean up City owned property located at Glenmore Trail and Elbow Drive (west and southwest of Phil’s). This work will carry on into 2011;
- Requested the cleanup of the Macleod Trail underpass located east of Chinook. Discussions about creating a cross walk or overpass south of this underpass along Macleod trail were entered into;
- Request was made to add a stop sign at the intersection of 7th Street and 77th Avenue; discussions are ongoing; and
- Ongoing discussions with the City of Calgary to find ways to manage traffic on 67th, 68th and 69th Avenues.

The sub-committees have now been combined into one committee due to a lack of volunteers.
Implementation Plan – 2011 Update

Your Board and Transportation and Infrastructure and Planning and Development sub-committees have completed the following over the past year.

- Hosted a community clean-up day where electronics, household items, metals, and garbage were sent to the appropriate places;
- Planning for the 2012 community clean-up day, which will add to the day graffiti clean-up throughout Kingsland;
- Worked with Cal Loomis and the City of Calgary through the park naming process. The ‘Loomis Park’ name was approved and the park sign and name was unveiled at an event on October 2, 2011;
- Worked with the City of Calgary to fix and relock the alley gates that have been broken or left open. These gates are vital to ensure shortcutting does not increase in Kingsland;
- Numerous graffiti reports were made throughout Kingsland;
- Continuing efforts to clean-up numerous properties in Kingsland that have been neglected;
- Continued to represented residents for the land use amendment application on 69th Avenue;
- Represented residents for the land use amendment proposed by the City of Calgary on Glenmore Trail;
- Represented residents on a variety of other smaller applications being processed through the City;
- Continued to work with the City to clean up City owned property located at Glenmore Trail and Elbow Drive (west and southwest of Phil’s). This work will carry on into 2012 until the property is purchased for development;
- A community traffic study application was submitted to the City of Calgary in July 2011. We are currently waiting to hear if this application was successful;
- Ongoing discussions with the City of Calgary to find ways to manage traffic on 67th, 68th and 69th Avenues; and
- Kingsland Community Association Facebook and Twitter pages have been established.