

PROPOSED STANLEY PARK REDEVELOPMENT PLAN – COMMENT FORM SUMMARY

Thank you for attending today's Open House (*reviewing this material for on-line comments*).

Please provide us feedback about the public engagement program associated with the Stanley Park Redevelopment Plan. This feedback will assist The City of Calgary Parks with developing appropriate public engagement processes for future projects and at the same time evaluate the Stanley Park engagement program.

Please continue on the reverse if you require more space. **17 responses**

1. Did you take part in any of the following engagement events? Please (✓) all that apply.

Phase 1 – March – May, 2013 Start Up & Background Research, Assessment and Review

	<u>No. of Responses</u>
March 2013 Kick-off Event	(5)
March 2013 Stakeholder Workshop	(6)
In park sounding boards to capture park user comments	(10)
Survey questionnaire	(8)
On-line Forum (via PlaceSpeak)	(7)

Phase 2 – June – August, 2013 Strategic Framework and Future Alternatives Concept Options

June 2013 Public Open House	(8)
June 2013 Stakeholder Workshop	(2)
Survey questionnaire	(5)
On-line Forum (via PlaceSpeak)	(5)

Phase 3 – Open House

(14)

Comments:

- Good selection of engagement methods.
- Thank you, great opportunity to participate.
- Glad about increasing # of picnic sites and adding a washroom. Other development is not necessary.
- PlaceSpeak not very user friendly, never was able to sign on and see dialogue comments.
- Do like the plan, thoughtful approach.
- Dave Spencer did an excellent job presenting.
- Whole issue of flooding, berms, storm sewers really should be addressed in this plan or in conjunction with this plan before going to City Council for funding.
- Thank you for an inclusive thoughtful process.

- Like the naturalizing emphasis but wish they had kept the field hockey fields and soccer fields.
- Great concept – would love to see this happen. We particularly like the concept of a community garden and a year-round coffee shop or concession.
- It will be critical to coordinate with any departments responsible for flood mitigation.
- Great work!
- The bike community will appreciate the separation and removal of conflict zones as long as the paths are designed to reduce slopes/water run-off.
- Heading in the correct direction but need to take into account Community Hall and flood mitigation measure that need to be done.
- Community Hall should be on higher ground if possible.
- The area of the skating rink is lower than anything else and has no storm sewer. With the flood came silt and now drainage is even worse. Ponding will occur in the summer. Storm sewer needed in the area.
- Allow winter XC ski trails (community will do it but is currently not allowed to take machinery off its leasehold).
- Good format for community input.
- I took part in a formal request for community garden. I should have voiced my complaint louder in June, but I did in the spring at the community garden meeting. I do not want the garden placed where it is. I am opposed to the garden, using green space/public for private use.

2. How did you hear about the Stanley Park Redevelopment Plan project? Please list all ways you heard about the project.

- Signage (6)
- E-mail from Ward 9 office (3)
- Ward 11 e-newsletter
- E-mail (2)
- City of Calgary on-line (3)
- PlaceSpeak (2)
- Community newsletter (3)
- Radio
- Canuck's Rugby Club
- E-mail from community (3)
- FCC
- Newspaper

3. The type and method of engagement was satisfactory for you to give input into the redevelopment plan for Stanley Park. Please circle your answer and include any additional comments.

<u>Strongly Agree</u>	<u>Somewhat Agree</u>	<u>Somewhat Disagree</u>	<u>Strongly Disagree</u>
4	3	2	1
(9)	(7)		(1)

Comments:

- The March workshop was amazing. I normally only ride through the park on a bike. I gained a new perspective doing the walk through.
- Although I was unable to participate in Phase 2, I am impressed with the match between the plan and the input. I feel that my input is reflected in the plan.

4. You had adequate opportunity to give input into the redevelopment plan for Stanley Park. Please circle your answer and include any additional comments.

<u>Strongly Agree</u>	<u>Somewhat Agree</u>	<u>Somewhat Disagree</u>	<u>Strongly Disagree</u>
4	3	2	1
(9)	(7)		(1)

Comments:

- The survey limited to Option 1 and 2, when aspects of each should have been more accessible as selections. The comment field was mandatory to get points of both across.
- PlaceSpeak too difficult to access!
- One thing I didn't see addressed was the playground speed limits along 42 Ave. If there is going to be parking on both sides of the road, the speed limit should be reduced to 30 km between 1A Street and the traffic circle. Better pedestrian markings or a push button flashing light needs to be installed. NO ONE stops for pedestrians on the Stanley Drive and 1A Street intersection.

5. There was enough time provided to review the material and to provide feedback. Please circle your answer and include any additional comments.

<u>Strongly Agree</u>	<u>Somewhat Agree</u>	<u>Somewhat Disagree</u>	<u>Strongly Disagree</u>
4	3	2	1
(9)	(7)		(1)

Comments:

- A bit of a tight timeline for the review of the two alternative plans - given the time of year (spring/early summer when some people are away). However, that has to be balanced with moving the schedule along at a brisk enough pace.

6. You are satisfied with how the feedback captured during the public engagement process was reflected in the proposed Stanley Park Redevelopment Plan. Please circle your answer and include any additional comments.

<u>Strongly Agree</u>	<u>Somewhat Agree</u>	<u>Somewhat Disagree</u>	<u>Strongly Disagree</u>
4	3	2	1
(8)	(6)	(2)	(1)

Comments:

- It is odd that this questionnaire is all about the process and not the content.
- Numerous families on the Elbow River have added rocks, bags of grass, etc. to lengthen their yard into the river. The City needs to stop this illegal activity. It is very easy to see these houses if you float down the river.
- I would be curious to get the actual figures % wise from the "what we heard" for members of my organization - connect@bikecalgary.ca
- The only inclusion I would recommend is a teen hangout along the river. Teens like to hang out on the concrete slabs in the turn of the river and I would ask that this area be redeveloped for individuals to walk down to the river at this point - stairs OK.
- It has been good to date, however, the flooding issue, once again, really should be addressed before this park plan is final.
- Excellent responses.
- Park plan may not include flood mitigation for residential but park aspects are well handled.

- I thought the garden issue was dead, but I see it has resurfaced.
- I think they need to go back to the drawing board and start with some flood mitigation efforts before finalizing any other plans. All development should be flood proof - if you are forcing residents to flood proof their houses, you should enforce the same requirements on city development. I think they have overdone it on the parking additions. Shouldn't someone monitor the parking over the next year and see how many spots are really needed? I would guess it only fills up 5-6 days a year.

Thank you for attending today and sharing your feedback. Please follow all updates with regards to Stanley Park at www.calgary.ca/parks or call 3-11.