



Off-site Levy Bylaw Review – Water Resources Working Group Stakeholder Consultation Meeting Notes

Date/Time: March 2, 2022 / 1:00 to 1:40 pm

Location: MS Teams – video conferencing

Attendees:

Internal	External
Maggie Choi	Greg Bodnarchuk
Kimberly Kahan	Jay German
Pam McHugh	Brian Hahn
Patrick McMahon	Guy Huntingford
Helena Nguyen	Jackie Stewart
Chris Tse	Mark Wynker
Erika Van Boxmeer*	
Mingdi Yang	
Regrets	
Cody Van Hell	Shameer Gaidhar
	Robert Homersham
	Richard Mackett
	Chris Ollenberger

*note taker

Agenda

1. **Project lists** (Maggie Choi)
2. **Next steps** (Maggie Choi)
3. **Preparing for stormwater discussion** (Maggie Choi)

Feedback collected:

Agenda item 1: Project lists

Water linear extensions

- The costs shown are total costs/budget including city portion and growth /OSL portion - is that correct?
- How much of these projects is in support of new development and how much to address existing service level issues? We need to filter through all the projects to ensure they're related to growth.
- Providence Starlight Pump Station – How is this station serviced? Is it servicing the Taza Development? We need to understand what the levy contribution is from this development vs other developments.



- How was the benefit allocation determined and what information will be provided related to the benefit allocation? Would like to see the work done to determine the benefit allocation for each of the projects.

Wastewater linear extensions

- Updated costs are higher by a fair bit. Why have the costs escalated so much since the 2016 bylaw? The increases are outside of what is expected for cost estimate accuracy. This is worth looking into as it can have a significant impact on the levy we're working on.

Water upgrades

- Nose Hill Feedermain – is the full amount shown in the bylaw right now? What is the value (in general terms) included in the new methodology?

Wastewater upgrades

- We are looking for the information, data and assumptions for each of the projects to determine the size and benefit allocation. Will help with establishing common understanding. Will help us understand if just the green areas or the green and blue areas should be included in the bylaw. Can you share this data with us?

General comments

- Benefit allocation will have a meaningful impact on the numerator.
- When Water Resources puts in infrastructure, they must size it based on capacity. We're interested in understanding the capacity of the works, so it can be reconciled with our understanding.
- The percentages are in the annual report and the current bylaw, we are asking for the data that supports those percentages allocated for each project.
- How is it appropriate to allocate capacity only to approved lands if there is more capacity in the infrastructure? Don't agree that the new methodology is a capacity model.
- The numerator is in "apples" and the denominator is in "oranges". This is a challenge unless "apples" do equal "oranges".

Agenda item 2: Next steps

- No comments

Agenda item 3: Preparing for stormwater discussion

Citywide rate vs catchments

- Would like to understand the impact of moving to citywide instead of catchments. Will help with understanding how it will work with the new methodology and its roll out. Results of this will help determine interest in switching.

Summary of Action Items

- Maggie will look into providing the information requested.