



# Off-site Levy Bylaw Review – Water Resources Working Group Stakeholder Consultation Meeting Notes

**Date/Time:** November 25, 2021 / 10:30 am to 11:45 am

**Location:** MS Teams – video conferencing

**Attendees:**

Internal	External
Maggie Choi	Jay German
Quinn Eastlick	Brian Hahn
Patrick McMahon	Robert Homersham
Helena Nguyen	Chris Ollenberger
Erika Van Boxmeer*	Jackie Stewart
Cody Van Hell	Mark Wynker
Daniel Vincent	
Mingdi Yang	
Regrets	
Kimberly Kahan	Greg Bodnarchuk
	Shameer Gaidhar
	Richard Mackett

\*note taker

## Agenda

- 1. Introduction & recap of last session re: Linear Water and Wastewater Capacity Methodology** (Quinn Eastlick, Daniel Vincent)
- 2. Data disclaimer** (Daniel Vincent)
- 3. Project selection** (Daniel Vincent)
- 4. Benefit allocation determination** (Daniel Vincent)
- 5. Infrastructure list questions** (Daniel Vincent)
- 6. Next session - Linear Water and Wastewater Capacity Methodology consultation** (Daniel Vincent)

## Feedback collected:

### General Feedback/Comments

- How much contingency in the \$2M project estimate?
  - Isn't class 5 a rough order of magnitude estimate like -50% to 100%+? So, it's already a rough estimate you would not put contingency on top of?
  - To the extent it is confirmed that there is no contingency, what is the significance of the class categorization as regards to the determination of the levy?



- Re: numbers that go into the cost of the levy – Will we get a list of the legacy projects in the levy and how far back do they go? For example, are we paying for projects that were completed say 20 years ago. Are there pipes being included that were constructed prior to the levy?
- It's not clear where a leviable pipe and an oversized pipe begins. Can you provide clarification on this?
- Can you provide an example of a completed project that the levy has been applied to, and what the lessons learned are? Is it possible to apply the lessons learned to a project?
- Trying to understand what tools are being used, want to make sure the process is robust. There may be more that goes into the estimates, receiving this information will help build trust with stakeholders. Can someone walk stakeholders through the processes and procedures used.
- Will stakeholders be party to the assumptions that support the information that has been shared about the projects? They'll often see in ASP's that there's infrastructure improvements that are highlighted that are needed for the development; however, the timing of them may be long-term after a milestone is reached. Will be helpful to see some of this to develop a better understanding.
- How do you determine the population served by the pipe? Can you share that data?
- We should make sure we consider current water demand numbers as most new construction uses significantly more efficient fixtures that have been used in the past. And this is likely only getting better.

## Summary of Action Items

- Dan will look into the questions about legacy projects and the beginning of leviable pipes and oversize pipes.
- Stakeholders will review the projects shared in the spreadsheets, and follow-up with any questions about the information or requests for additional clarification.